Few-Body Systems manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

CERN-TH-2017-088

Lattice calculations and the muon anomalous magnetic moment

Marina Krstić Marinković

arXiv:1704.06425v1 [hep-lat] 21 Apr 2017

Abstract Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$, is one of the most precisely measured quantities in particle physics and it provides a stringent test of the Standard Model. The planned improvements of the experimental precision at Fermilab and at J-PARC propel further reduction of the theoretical uncertainty of a_μ. The hope is that the efforts on both sides will help resolve the current discrepancy between the experimental measurement of a_μ and its theoretical prediction, and potentially gain insight into new physics. The dominant sources of the uncertainty in the theoretical prediction of a_μ are the errors of the hadronic contributions. I will discuss recent progress on determination of hadronic contributions to a_μ from lattice calculations.

Keywords lattice field theory · muon anomalous magnetic moment · hadronic vacuum polarization · hadronic light by light

PACS 11.15.Ha · 12.38.Gc · 13.40.Em

1 Introduction

The contributions of new physics (NP) beyond the Standard Model (SM) to the anomalous magnetic moment of a lepton, $a_\ell = \frac{g_l - 2}{2}$, are expected to scale as $a_\ell - a_\ell^{\rm SM} \propto (m_\ell^2/\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2)$ for leptons $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$ and some new physics scale $\Lambda_{\rm NP}$. The muon magnetic moment, a_μ, is therefore m_μ^2/m_e^2 times more sensitive to NP than the one of the electron, while the magnetic moment of τ is not yet experimentally accessible. Additionally, a_μ can be obtained with high precision both from the experiment [6], as well as from the SM prediction [42,26] and as such serves as one of the most sensitive tests of the SM.

The experimental measurement of a_μ currently shows a 3σ to 4σ deviation from the SM estimate [42,26]. The summary of various SM contributions to a_μ given in Table 1 shows that the dominating uncertainty comes from the hadronic contributions. The value of the hadronic vacuum polarization (HVP) entering the theoretical average in Table 1 is obtained via a dispersion integral of the total hadronic e^+e^- annihilation and τ-decays cross sections (for comprehensive reviews see [51,42]), while the value of hadronic Light by Light contribution (HLbL) quoted in Table 1 is purely based on low energy effective QCD hadronic models. Due to the plans of Fermilab [57] and J-PARC [55] experiments to reduce the experimental uncertainty by a factor of four, performing an independent check of the phenomenological estimate of the hadronic contributions is of crucial importance in order to confirm or resolve current discrepancy between theory and experiment, and potentially gain insight into new physics beyond the SM. Lattice discretization of gauge theories provides a way to compute the contributions to a_μ with prevailing uncertainties, HVP and HLbL, from first principles. We will present in the following sections

Trinity College Dublin
School of Mathematics
Dublin 2, Ireland
Tel.: +353-1-896-4598
Fax: +353-1-896-2282
E-mail: mmarina@maths.tcd.ie

Theoretical Physics Department
CERN
Geneva, Switzerland
Tel.:+41-2276-74222
Fax: +41-2276-73850
E-mail: marina.marinkovic@cern.ch

Table 1 Comparison between a SM prediction and the experimental result for a_μ. The last column contains example Feynman diagrams for the individual contributions to the total theoretical estimate.

Contribution		Value	Uncertainty	Sample Diagrams
QED $O(\alpha)$		116 140 973.21	0.03	
QED $O(\alpha^2)$		413217.63	0.01	
QED $O(\alpha^3)$		30 141.90	0.00	
QED $O(\alpha^4)$		381.01	0.02	
QED $O(\alpha^5)$		5.09	0.01	
QED, total	[2]	116 584 718.95	0.04	
electroweak, total	[34]	153.6	1.0	
HVP (LO)	[39]	6949.9	43.0	
HVP (NLO)	[39]	-98.0	1.0	
HLbL	[43]	116.0	40.0	
HVP(NNLO)	[46]	12.4	0.1	
HLbL(NLO)	[22]	3.0	2.0	
theory, total		116 591 855.0	59.0	
experiment [50]		116 592 089.0	63.0	

the ongoing progress of these calculations, aiming to achieve sub-percent precision for the HVP and $\approx 10\%$ precision for HLbL.

2 Hadronic Vacuum polarization in Euclidean space-time

Ever since the first attempt to perform a lattice QCD computation of the HVP is made by T. Blum [7], a precise computation of this quantity has become one of the long-standing goals of the lattice comunity. The leading order HVP correction to a_μ at Euclidean space-time is obtained as [47,7]:

$$a_\mu^{\mathrm{HVP}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dQ^2 f(Q^2) \times \hat{\Pi}(Q^2), \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = \Pi(Q^2) - \Pi(0)$ is the renormalized scalar vacuum polarization, α is the fine structure constant, m_μ is the muon mass and $f(Q^2)$ is analytically known[1] function. Gauge and Lorentz invariance relate scalar hadronic vacuum polarization function and vacuum polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(Q) = (Q^2\delta_{\mu\nu} - Q_\mu Q_\nu)\Pi(Q^2)$, which can be computed using a lattice discretization of the vector current J_μ^{em}:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(Q) = a^4 \sum_x e^{iQx} \langle J_\mu^{em}(x) J_\nu^{em}(0) \rangle. \tag{2}$$

The vector two-point correlator in Eq. 2 consists of the quark-connected and quark-disconnected contributions illustrated in the leftmost panel of Fig. 1, whose continuum and infinite volume limits are usually computed independently.

The integrand of Eq. 1 is strongly peaked at $Q^2 \approx \frac{m_\mu^2}{4}$ and the integral corresponding to the a_μ^{HVP} is therefore dominated by the low momentum region (see Fig. 1). Lattice computation is in practice performed in a finite box, with time and spatial extents L_μ and periodic boundary conditions. The

[1] $f(Q^2) = m_\mu^2 Q^2 Z^3(Q^2) \frac{1 - Q^2 Z(Q^2)}{1 + m_\mu^2 Q^2 Z^2(Q^2)}$, where $Z(Q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{(Q^2)^2 + 4m_\mu^2 Q^2} - Q^2}{2m_\mu^2 Q^2}$.



Fig. 1 Left panel: connected HVP (up) and disconnected HVP contribution (down). The dots represent the insertion of the conserved and/or local vector currents. Central panel: strange HVP function ($\Pi_{\mu\nu}(Q) = a^4 \sum_x e^{iQx} \langle J_\mu^{em,s}(x) J_\nu^{em,s}(0)\rangle$) reproduced from Ref. [8], measured on $N_f = 2+1$ configurations with Domain Wall fermions. Right panel: the strange quark contribution to the integrand of Eq. 1, reproduced from the strange HVP study of Ref. [8].

lattice momenta are thus quantized: $Q_\mu = \frac{2\pi}{L_\mu} n_\mu$, $n_\mu = 0, \ldots, L_\mu - 1, \mu = 0 \ldots 3$, and this condition leaves us with few lattice points in the most important region for the evaluation of the HVP integral: around and bellow the peak of the integrand. The latter calls for the usage of models or parametrizations that would estimate the value of $\Pi(Q^2)$ in the low momentum region. Moreover, the signal to noise ratio worsens at small Q^2. For all these reasons, a number of tricks for noise reduction and control of the systematic uncertainties needed to be developed in order to bring the accuracy of the lattice computation of $a_\mu^{\rm HVP}$ closer to the precision of the estimates coming from the phenomenology and experiment.

2.1 Strategies for the evaluation of the HVP on the lattice

Initially, computing a_μ^{HVP} in lattice QCD required performing a fit of the lattice data in order to obtain the renormalized amplitude $\hat{\Pi}(Q^2)$ [7,15,4]. The fits were introducing model dependence that made systematics difficult to control. We discuss several recent proposals for the non-perturbative determinations of the HVP, whose development was motivated by overcoming the aforementioned difficulty of model-dependent extraction of the additive renormalization $\Pi(0)$ and a slope of the HVP near $Q^2 = 0$.
Padé approximants. In order to avoid uncontrolled systematics originating from performing model dependent fits of the HVP (usually based on Vector Meson Dominance), a model independent approach for constructing the functional form of $\Pi(Q^2)$ has been proposed by the authors of Ref. [4]. The suggested method is based only on known mathematical properties of the vacuum polarization, and consists of fitting a sequence of Padé approximants to the lattice data. The Padés are such that the full sequence converges to the actual polarization for any compact region in the complex plane excluding the cut along the negative real axis. Increasing the order of the Padé approximation allows for testing the stability of the fits and guarantees a more reliable estimate of the systematics that come mainly from the decreasing precision of lattice data at low Q^2.

Time Moments. Recently proposed approach by the HPQCD collaboration [19] obtains the HVP by reconstructing the Adler function from its derivatives. From the space-averaged current-current correlator $G(x_0) = -\frac{a^3}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \langle J_\mu^{em}(x) J_\nu^{em}(0)\rangle$, one computes the time-moments of the renormalized HVP by taking the Q^2-derivatives at zero spatial momenta: $G_{2n} = (-1)^n \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial Q^{2n}} \{Q^2 \hat{\Pi}(Q^2)\}|_{Q^2=0} = a \sum_{x_0} x_0^{2n} G(x_0)$. The relation of the HVP form factor with the constructed time-moments allows us to determine the coefficients of a given HVP function parametrization (Padé approximants, conformal polynomials etc.). Further developments of this idea, which replace continuous time-moments with discrete- and/or spatial-moments, have been applied in more recent works by RBC/UKQCD, Mainz group and BMW collaboration [8,41, 14].

Time-Momentum Representation. An approach revived in many recent HVP computations [33,30,10] proposes an alternative to the computation of the HVP in momentum space captured in Eq. 2. One starts from the spatially summed vector correlator $G(x_0)$, and writes a renormalized HVP as $\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{Q^2} \int_0^\infty dx_0 G(x_0)[Q^2 x_0^2 - 4\sin^2(\frac{1}{2} Q x_0)]$. The challenge is here transferred to understanding the large time



Fig. 2 Left panel: Illustration of the hybrid method [35] reproduced from Ref. [8]. Vertical dashed lines represent the integration boundaries: Q_{low}, a variable frontier between the parametrization of the low-Q^2 region and the intermediate numerical integration region; and the second boundary Q_{high}, where the contact with perturbation theory is made. Center and right panels show the summary of strange quark and light quark HVP results by several collaborations using methods described in Sec. 2.1. The two figures are reproduced from the plenary talk by H. Wittig at Lattice 2016 [58].

behavior of $G(x_0)$. It can be shown that the TMR representation is equivalent to the previously discussed Time Moments approach [58].

Analytic Continuation. The method discussed in Ref. [30] computes the a_μ^{HVP} at small space-like momenta, simultaneously with the time-like momenta. This is achieved by keeping the spatial Fourier transform of Eq. 2 and only integrating in the time direction with a factor e^{wt}, such that continuous values of Q^2, Q=($\mathbf{q}$,-iw), are accessed: $\bar{\Pi}(Q^2)(\delta_{\mu\nu}Q^2 - Q_\mu Q_\nu) = \int dt\ e^{wt} \int d^3\mathbf{x}\ e^{i\mathbf{qx}}\ \langle J_\mu^{em}(\mathbf{x},t) J_\nu^{em}(\mathbf{0},0)\rangle$. w is a continuous parameter, and $\bar{\Pi}(Q^2)$ is a modified HVP form factor, which has been proven to lead to an evaluation of the physical a_μ^{HVP}. The integration range is divided into two parts: the interval $[0, Q_{max}]$, where the analytic continuation is applied and the integration range $[Q_{max}, \infty)$ that is treated in a standard way. An advantage of this approach is that the model dependence comes only from the region $[Q_{max}, \infty)$. Unfortunately, the method does not seem to lead to an increased precision in the overall calculation of a_μ^{HVP}. The described approach is utilized in the computation of a_μ^{HVP} for $N_f = 2+1+1$ QCD with twisted mass fermions by ETMC [17].

Hybrid Method The approach proposed in Ref. [35] allows for better control of the systematics arising from the decreasing precision of lattice data in the range of low-Q^2. The integral that gives a_μ^{HVP} is this time divided into three non-overlapping regions: $[0, Q^2_{low}]$,$[Q^2_{low}, Q^2_{high}]$ and $[Q^2_{high}, \infty)$ (cf. Fig. 2). One then applies different fits/moment methods in the range $[0, Q^2_{low}]$, and performs a simple numerical integration in the region $[Q^2_{low}, Q^2_{high}]$. Taking into account that roughly 80% of the contribution to the HVP integral comes from $Q^2 < 0.2\mathrm{GeV}^2$ domain [35], this approach helps us give a better estimate of the systematics in the computation of a_μ^{HVP} by probing different integration boundaries Q^2_{low} and Q^2_{high}. In the application of this method by RBC/UKQCD [8], the parametrization in the low-Q^2 region is varied, alongside the method used to match the parametrization in this region and the numerical methods used to integrate the mid-Q^2 region. This allowed obtaining a reliable estimate of the overall systematic error, which for the strange quark, interestingly, came out to be smaller than the statistical error.

2.2 Summary of current lattice evaluations of the connected HVP

Fig. 2 shows the summary of the determinations of different contributions to the HVP by several lattice collaborations previously presented at Lattice 2016 [58]. In the left panel the strange contributions by Mainz group on CLS ensembles [41], RBC/UKQCD[8], ETM[17] and HPQCD [19] collaborations are compared and the results are mutually consistent. The right panel contrasts the PDG estimate of the HVP with the results by various lattice collaborations, which are treating two, three or all four (u,d,s,c) quark flavors dynamically. Lattice estimates agree with the PDG value, although the accuracy is still several times lower than the one from non-lattice methods that are the sole contributors to the PDG result.

Recent lattice works on the connected HVP front that are not covered in this review include the computation of the HVP by introducing a background magnetic field [5], a suggestion to perform a

subtraction of $\Pi(0)$ using the derivative of the twisting angle [29], computing HVP directly at an arbitrary momentum and in a finite volume (FV) [54], as well as a systematic study of the FV effects on the BMW ensembles [49]. Additionally, Ref. [3] gives an estimate of FV effects for the connected HVP from chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) and an interesting proposal to reduce FV effects by twist averaging has been described in Ref. [48]. The most recent addition to the rapidly growing literature on lattice determinations of the HVP is the systematic study of the slope and curvature of the HVP by the BMW collaboration [14], where for the first time continuum limit of these quantities is performed using six different lattice spacings.

2.3 Disconnected HVP contribution

Quark-disconnected contributions to vector correlation functions (see Fig. 1) are known to have a bad signal to noise ratio, and represented one of the main sources of unquantified uncertainties in the earlier computations of the HVP from the lattice [15,27]. An estimate of the disconnected HVP from one-loop FV lattice ChPT [28] and an earlier ETMC work [31] that included the disconnected contribution for almost half of the ensembles used in the study, have been followed by several contemporary computations of this quantity [38,20,56,5]. The latter have rendered this contribution relevant in achieving the aimed sub-percent precision for the total HVP from the lattice. Recent work by RBC/UKQCD [9] is the first to statistically resolve the signal of the disconnected HVP with physical light quarks. The success of the latter is due to pinpointing the mechanisms related solely with the nature of the disconnected contribution, in addition to the application of the noise reduction techniques such as all-mode-averaging strategy [13], all-to-all quark propagators [32], and sparse random sources. Moreover, light-strange quark difference is computed directly, as previously proposed in Ref. [38], and a result for the disconnected HVP amounting to $\approx 1.5\%$ of the total HVP at 3σ level is obtained [9].

2.4 Isospin breaking correction to the HVP

Similarly to the disconnected piece, the isospin breaking corrections to the HVP are expected to be at a few percent level. However, the goal precision for the HVP from the lattice cannot be achieved before we control the effect of performing the lattice computation in the isosymmetric limit: with up ad down quark masses degenerate and no electromagnetic effects taken into account. Up to now, only a limited number of efforts have been invested in lattice community, in order to determine the isospin breaking effects to the hadronic observables in high precision lattice simulations (for a recent review see [52]). The existing estimates of the isospin breaking corrections to the HVP based on phenomenological input [44, 21], speak in favor of the importance of this correction for reaching a sub-percent precision of the HVP from the lattice. In particular, the error budget of [21] quotes precisely the unaccounted isospin breaking effects as the largest contribution to the total uncertainty. The definite answer regarding the significance of these effects can be obtained only by carrying out a lattice calculation and several such studies are on the way [45,16].

2.5 HVP from new experiments combined with lattice QCD

Note that an alternative way to write Eq. 1 features the running of the fine structure constant: $a_\mu^{\rm HVP} = (\frac{\alpha}{\pi}) \int_0^1 dx(1-x)\Delta\alpha_{had}(-Q^2)$ [47], where $Q^2 = \frac{x^2 m_\mu^2}{1-x}$. Recently, a couple of novel approaches to perform a direct space-like measurement of the hadronic contributions to the running of the fine structure constant, $\Delta\alpha_{had}(-Q^2)$, have been proposed [18,1]. A precise value of $\Delta\alpha_{had}(-Q^2)$ could be obtained either in Bhabha scattering with a small modification of the existing experiments [18], or in the recently proposed measurement of the scattering of the high-energy muons on the fixed electron target [1]. The statistical precision of the value of $a_\mu^{\rm HVP}$ obtained from the latter of the two proposed experiments is estimated to be O(0.3%) after two years of data taking, while the systematics still need to be accounted.

The original proposals assume using time-like dispersive data and/or perturbation theory beyond the maximal space-like momenta that can be achieved in space-like scattering experiments, Q^2_{exp}. Similarly to the Hybrid Method described in Sec. 2.1, one could instead combine the input from the newly proposed experiments in some low momentum integration range $[0, Q^2_{exp}]$ with lattice QCD calculation of the

contribution to the $a_\mu^{\rm HVP}$ from the region $[Q^2_{exp}, Q^2_{high}]$, and finally make contact with perturbation theory at some value Q^2_{high}. Due to the complementarity of the integration ranges where the proposed space-like experimental measurements and lattice QCD give precise results, the value of $a_\mu^{\rm HVP}$ obtained by combining the two methods is expected to be comparable to the projected accuracy of the $g-2$ experiments at Fermilab and J-PARC.

3 Hadronic Light by Light contribution

The value for the Hadronic Light by Light contribution entering the phenomenological estimate of a_μ [43] (cf. Table 1) is based on model calculations and lattice methods allow for the model independent evaluation of these estimates. In the previously described HVP calculations, one would first separate QED and QCD contributions, such that only the QCD piece is computed on the lattice. Following a similar approach for HLbL would require to resolve the four point correlation function $a_\mu^{HLbL} \propto \int dQ^2 f'(Q^2) \sum_{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4} e^{iQx} \langle J_\mu(x_1) J_\nu(x_2) J_\rho(x_3) J_\sigma(x_4)\rangle$ from the lattice, which is computationally very demanding. A feasible methodology to compute the HLbL contribution to a_μ by factorizing the QED part has been first proposed by T.Blum, T. Izubuchi and M. Hayakawa [40]. An original approach of combining lattice QCD and stochastically generated photon propagators [40,10] has recently been superseded with a more promising approach of replacing stochastic QED with the analytic photon propagators[11,12]. On the other hand, recent progress in the dispersive-based analysis inspired by a data-driven HVP calculations [23,24,25,53] has motivated lattice practitioners to revisit the mentioned approach of calculating HLbL by computing the four-point correlator on the lattice. Its recent implementation by the Mainz group assumes the computation of the multidimensional integral over a position-space QED kernel in the continuum [36]. This proposal has recently been tested by evaluating the π_0-pole contribution to the HLbL scattering amplitude [37] and a more complete calculation is underway.

In the lattice calculation of the HLbL contribution, again both the connected and the disconnected pieces have to be taken into account. Despite the tremendous advances the computations of connected HLbL have seen in the previous years, the disconnected contribution still remains a challenge, particularly due to the fact that unlike for the HVP, for the HLbL there is no reason to expect its suppression with respect to the connected part. This concern has been confirmed in a recent calculation by RBC [11], which estimates the leading disconnected piece for HLbL to be as as much as $\approx 50\%$ of the connected one.

4 Summary and Outlook

Due to its high sensitivity to the potential physics beyond the Standard Model, muon magnetic moment is an optimal channel to unravel new physics. The dominating uncertainties in the theoretical estimate of a_μ come from the hadronic contributions: the leading order HVP and HLbL. The computation of the connected contribution to the HVP has become a mainstream calculation for lattice QCD by now, and it is particularly encouraging to see that more and more lattice studies are focused on computing the disconnected and isospin breaking corrections to the HVP, as well as the HLbL contributions. It is expected that once the effect of the isospin breaking to the HVP is known with a precision of O(10%), the sub-percent accuracy of the HVP contribution from the lattice will already be achieved. The experimental precision of the anomalous magnetic moment will be improved four times in the next couple of years at the Fermilab new experiment E989 [57] and at J-PARC, E34 [55], making the verification and improvement of the current theoretical prediction of a_μ a timely task. For all these reasons, it is crucial to invest in further development of the lattice methods described in this review and to devise new methods, in order to take full advantage of the first principles calculations of the hadronic contributions to a_μ.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank the members of the RBC/UKQCD for an enjoyable collaboration on $a_\mu^{\rm HVP}$ related topics, and to Nazario Tantalo and Fred Jegerlehner for enlightening discussions on the muon g-2. Many thanks to the organizers of Light Cone 2016 for the friendly and stimulating atmosphere of the meeting. Furthermore, I am especially indebted to Massimo Passera and Marija Vranic for valuable comments on the manuscript and to Hartmut Wittig, who generously allowed reproduction of the unpublished figures.

References

1. Abbiendi, G., et al.: Measuring the leading hadronic contribution to the muon g-2 via μe scattering. Eur. Phys. J. **C77**(3), 139 (2017). DOI 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4633-z
2. Aoyama, T., Hayakawa, M., Kinoshita, T., Nio, M.: Tenth-Order Electron Anomalous Magnetic Moment — Contribution of Diagrams without Closed Lepton Loops. Phys. Rev. **D91**(3), 033,006 (2015). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.033006
3. Aubin, C., Blum, T., Chau, P., Golterman, M., Peris, S., Tu, C.: Finite-volume effects in the muon anomalous magnetic moment on the lattice. Phys. Rev. **D93**(5), 054,508 (2016). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.054508
4. Aubin, C., Blum, T., Golterman, M., Peris, S.: Model-independent parametrization of the hadronic vacuum polarization and g-2 for the muon on the lattice. Phys.Rev. **D86**, 054,509 (2012). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.054509
5. Bali, G., Endrodi, G.: Hadronic vacuum polarization and muon g-2 from magnetic susceptibilities on the lattice. Phys. Rev. **D92**(5), 054,506 (2015). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054506
6. Bennett, G.W., et al.: Final Report of the Muon E821 Anomalous Magnetic Moment Measurement at BNL. Phys. Rev. **D73**, 072,003 (2006). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.73.072003
7. Blum, T.: Lattice calculation of the lowest order hadronic contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment. Phys.Rev.Lett. **91**, 052,001 (2003). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.052001
8. Blum, T., Boyle, P., Del Debbio, L., Hudspith, R., Izubuchi, T., Juettner, A., Lehner, C., Lewis, R., Maltman, K., Krstic Marinkovic, M., Portelli, A., Spraggs, M.: Lattice calculation of the leading strange quark-connected contribution to the muon g -2. JHEP **04**, 063 (2016). DOI 10.1007/JHEP04(2016)063
9. Blum, T., Boyle, P.A., Izubuchi, T., Jin, L., Jttner, A., Lehner, C., Maltman, K., Marinkovic, M., Portelli, A., Spraggs, M.: Calculation of the hadronic vacuum polarization disconnected contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**(23), 232,002 (2016). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.232002
10. Blum, T., Chowdhury, S., Hayakawa, M., Izubuchi, T.: Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment from lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**(1), 012,001 (2015). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.012001
11. Blum, T., Christ, N., Hayakawa, M., Izubuchi, T., Jin, L., Jung, C., Lehner, C.: Connected and Leading Disconnected Hadronic Light-by-Light Contribution to the Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment with a Physical Pion Mass. Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**(2), 022,005 (2017). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.022005
12. Blum, T., Christ, N., Hayakawa, M., Izubuchi, T., Jin, L., Lehner, C.: Lattice Calculation of Hadronic Light-by-Light Contribution to the Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment. Phys. Rev. **D93**(1), 014,503 (2016). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014503
13. Blum, T., Izubuchi, T., Shintani, E.: New class of variance-reduction techniques using lattice symmetries. Phys. Rev. **D88**(9), 094,503 (2013). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094503
14. Borsanyi, S., Fodor, Kawanai, T., Krieg, S., Lellouch, L., Malak, R., Miura, K., Szabo, K.K., Torrero, C., Toth, B.: Slope and curvature of the hadron vacuum polarization at vanishing virtuality from lattice QCD (2016). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.082001. arXiv:1612.02364
15. Boyle, P., Del Debbio, L., Kerrane, E., Zanotti, J.: Lattice Determination of the Hadronic Contribution to the Muon $g-2$ using Dynamical Domain Wall Fermions. Phys. Rev. **D85**, 074,504 (2012). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.074504
16. Boyle, P., Gulpers, V., Harrison, J., Juttner, A., Portelli, A., Sachrajda, C.: Electromagnetic Corrections to Meson Masses and the HVP . PoS **LATTICE2016**, 172 (2016)
17. Burger, F., Feng, X., Hotzel, G., Jansen, K., Petschlies, M., Renner, D.B.: Four-Flavour Leading-Order Hadronic Contribution To The Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment. JHEP **02**, 099 (2014). DOI 10.1007/JHEP02(2014)099
18. Carloni Calame, C.M., Passera, M., Trentadue, L., Venanzoni, G.: A new approach to evaluate the leading hadronic corrections to the muon g-2. Phys. Lett. **B746**, 325–329 (2015). DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.020
19. Chakraborty, B., Davies, C.T.H., Donald, G.C., Dowdall, R.J., Koponen, J., Lepage, G.P., Teubner, T.: Strange and charm quark contributions to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. Phys. Rev. **D89**(11), 114,501 (2014). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.114501
20. Chakraborty, B., Davies, C.T.H., Koponen, J., Lepage, G.P., Peardon, M.J., Ryan, S.M.: Estimate of the hadronic vacuum polarization disconnected contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon from lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. **D93**(7), 074,509 (2016). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074509
21. Chakraborty, B., Davies, C.T.H., de Oliviera, P.G., Koponen, J., Lepage, G.P.: The hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to a_μ from full lattice QCD (2016)
22. Colangelo, G., Hoferichter, M., Nyffeler, A., Passera, M., Stoffer, P.: Remarks on higher-order hadronic corrections to the muon g-2. Phys. Lett. **B735**, 90–91 (2014). DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.012
23. Colangelo, G., Hoferichter, M., Procura, M., Stoffer, P.: Dispersive approach to hadronic light-by-light scattering. JHEP **09**, 091 (2014). DOI 10.1007/JHEP09(2014)091
24. Colangelo, G., Hoferichter, M., Procura, M., Stoffer, P.: Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: theoretical foundations. JHEP **09**, 074 (2015). DOI 10.1007/JHEP09(2015)074
25. Colangelo, G., Hoferichter, M., Procura, M., Stoffer, P.: Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: two-pion contributions (2017)
26. Davier, M., Hoecker, A., Malaescu, B., Zhang, Z.: Hadron Contribution to Vacuum Polarisation. Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **26**, 129–144 (2016)
27. Della Morte, M., Jager, B., Juttner, A., Wittig, H.: Towards a precise lattice determination of the leading hadronic contribution to $(g-2)_\mu$. JHEP **03**, 055 (2012). DOI 10.1007/JHEP03(2012)055
28. Della Morte, M., Juttner, A.: Quark disconnected diagrams in chiral perturbation theory. JHEP **1011**, 154 (2010). DOI 10.1007/JHEP11(2010)154
29. de Divitiis, G., Petronzio, R., Tantalo, N.: On the extraction of zero momentum form factors on the lattice. Phys.Lett. **B718**, 589–596 (2012). DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2012.10.035
30. Feng, X., Hashimoto, S., Hotzel, G., Jansen, K., Petschlies, M., Renner, D.B.: Computing the hadronic vacuum polarization function by analytic continuation. Phys. Rev. **D88**, 034,505 (2013). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.034505

31. Feng, X., Jansen, K., Petschlies, M., Renner, D.B.: Two-flavor QCD correction to lepton magnetic moments at leading-order in the electromagnetic coupling. Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 081,802 (2011)
32. Foley, J., Jimmy Juge, K., O'Cais, A., Peardon, M., Ryan, S.M., Skullerud, J.I.: Practical all-to-all propagators for lattice QCD. Comput. Phys. Commun. **172**, 145–162 (2005). DOI 10.1016/j.cpc.2005.06.008
33. Francis, A., Gülpers, V., Jäger, B., Meyer, H., von Hippel, G., et al.: The leading disconnected contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. PoS **LATTICE2014**, 128 (2014)
34. Gnendiger, C., Stckinger, D., Stckinger-Kim, H.: The electroweak contributions to $(g-2)_\mu$ after the Higgs boson mass measurement. Phys. Rev. **D88**, 053,005 (2013). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.053005
35. Golterman, M., Maltman, K., Peris, S.: New strategy for the lattice evaluation of the leading order hadronic contribution to $(g-2)_\mu$. Phys. Rev. **D90**(7), 074,508 (2014). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074508
36. Green, J., Asmussen, N., Gryniuk, O., von Hippel, G., Meyer, H.B., Nyffeler, A., Pascalutsa, V.: Direct calculation of hadronic light-by-light scattering. PoS **LATTICE2015**, 109 (2016)
37. Green, J., Asmussen, N., Meyer, H.B., Nyffeler, A.: Position-space approach to hadronic light-by-light scattering in the muon $g-2$ on the lattice . PoS **LATTICE2016**, 164 (2017)
38. Gulpers, V., Francis, A., Jager, B., Meyer, H., von Hippel, G., Wittig, H.: The leading disconnected contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. PoS **LATTICE2014**, 128 (2014)
39. Hagiwara, K., Liao, R., Martin, A.D., Nomura, D., Teubner, T.: (g-2)_mu and alpha(M_Z$\hat{2}$) re-evaluated using new precise data. J.Phys. **G38**, 085,003 (2011). DOI 10.1088/0954-3899/38/8/085003
40. Hayakawa, M., Blum, T., Izubuchi, T., Yamada, N.: Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the muon g-2 from lattice QCD: Methodology. PoS **LAT2005**, 353 (2006)
41. Horch, H.: The leading order hadronic contribution of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon with O(a)-improved Wilson fermions with Pade approximants from fits and time moments. PoS **LATTICE2016**, 165 (2017)
42. Jegerlehner, F.: Leading-order hadronic contribution to the electron and muon g-2. EPJ Web Conf. **118**, 01,016 (2016). DOI 10.1051/epjconf/201611801016
43. Jegerlehner, F., Nyffeler, A.: The Muon g-2. Phys. Rept. **477**, 1–110 (2009). DOI 10.1016/j.physrep.2009.04.003
44. Jegerlehner, F., Szafron, R.: $\rho^0-\gamma$ mixing in the neutral channel pion form factor F_π^e and its role in comparing e^+e^- with τ spectral functions. Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1632 (2011). DOI 10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1632-3
45. Krstic Marinkovic, M.: Leading isospin breaking correction to the hadronic vacuum polarisation. Talk presented at Lattice 2015, Kobe (2015)
46. Kurz, A., Liu, T., Marquard, P., Steinhauser, M.: Hadronic contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment to next-to-next-to-leading order. Phys. Lett. **B734**, 144–147 (2014). DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2014.05.043
47. Lautrup, B.E., De Rafael, E.: Calculation of the sixth-order contribution from the fourth-order vacuum polarization to the difference of the anomalous magnetic moments of muon and electron. Phys. Rev. **174**, 1835–1842 (1968). DOI 10.1103/PhysRev.174.1835
48. Lehner, C., Izubuchi, T.: Towards the large volume limit - A method for lattice QCD + QED simulations. PoS **LATTICE2014**, 164 (2015)
49. Malak, R., Fodor, Z., Hoelbling, C., Lellouch, L., Sastre, A., et al.: Finite-volume corrections to the leading-order hadronic contribution to $g_\mu-2$ **LATTICE2014**, 161 (2015)
50. Mohr, P.J., Taylor, B.N., Newell, D.B.: CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2014. Rev. Mod. Phys. **88** (2016). DOI 10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1527
51. Passera, M.: The Standard model prediction of the muon anomalous magnetic moment. J. Phys. **G31**, R75–R94 (2005). DOI 10.1088/0954-3899/31/5/R01
52. Patella, A.: QED Corrections to Hadronic Observables. PoS **LATTICE2016**, 020 (2017)
53. Pauk, V., Vanderhaeghen, M.: Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in a dispersive approach. Phys. Rev. **D90**(11), 113,012 (2014). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.113012
54. Portelli, A.: Finite volume hadronic vacuum polarisation at arbitrary momenta. Talk presented at Lattice 2015, Kobe (2015)
55. Saito, N.: A novel precision measurement of muon g-2 and EDM at J-PARC. AIP Conf. Proc. **1467**, 45–56 (2012). DOI 10.1063/1.4742078
56. Toth, B.: Disconnected contribution to hadron correlation functions. Talk presented at Lattice 2015, Kobe (2015)
57. Venanzoni, G.: The New Muon g2 experiment at Fermilab. Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **273-275**, 584–588 (2016). DOI 10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2015.09.087
58. Wittig, H.: Hadronic contributions to the muon (g-2) from Lattice QCD. Plenary talk presented at Lattice 2016, Southampton (2016)